Data Usability Work Group
April 8, 2021
Agenda

- Welcome, Introductions, Agenda - Bill Gregg
- Workgroup Logistics – David Camitta
- Survey Q&A – Didi Davis
- Questions/Next Steps
Workgroup Members

193 Organizations

- Healthcare Providers: 20%
- Health IT Developers: 18%
- Other: 15%
- HIN/HIEs: 13%
- Federal, State, Local Government: 13%

294 Participants

- Consumer/Patient: 2%
- Health Plan/Payer: 10%
- Other: 13%
- Standards Developer: 4%
- Public Health: 5%
- Federal, State, Local Government: 13%
Website Update and Meeting and Workgroup Logistics

- Register for the Workgroup
- Calendar Downloads
- Meeting Notes
The Sequoia Project’s Members

[Logos of various organizations]
Data Usability Workgroup Stakeholder Survey Responses

38 Organizations
- Healthcare Providers: 22%
- Health IT Developers: 46%
- HIN/HIEs: 15%
- Federal, State, Local Government: 9%
- Other: 4%
- Standards Developer: 4%
- Consumer/Patient: 0%
- Health Plan/Payer: 0%
- Public Health: 0%

48 Participants
- Healthcare Providers: 22%
- Health IT Developers: 46%
- HIN/HIEs: 15%
- Federal, State, Local Government: 9%
- Other: 4%
- Standards Developer: 4%
- Consumer/Patient: 0%
- Health Plan/Payer: 0%
- Public Health: 0%
Data Usability Workgroup – Survey Results

• The Leadership Council will be informed of the outcome on April 15, 2021
  – Proposed Work Items
  – Responses Google Spreadsheet
• 48 Responses Received Ranked (Note added tabs and sub categories)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub Category Rankings</th>
<th>Data Provenance and Traceability of Changes</th>
<th>Effective Use of Codes in Shared Information</th>
<th>Reduce Impact of Duplicates</th>
<th>Data Integrity/Trust</th>
<th>Data Tagging/Searchability</th>
<th>Specific Domain Guidance for Usability</th>
<th>Effective Use of Narrative for Usability</th>
<th>Improving Privacy and Security Labeling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal, State or Local Government</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Information Network or Exchange</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health IT Developer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Provider</td>
<td>Tie2/3/4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tie2/3/4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tie2/3/4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards Developer</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rankings Minus Staff and HIT Developers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider + Other User Rankings</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementation Guide Development Process Discussion

• Co-chairs and staff will start to organize and gather the content for the 8 topic areas
  – Identify existing specs/tools that are "in the portfolio" already
  – Document other aspects to be considered for the solution.
  – This will be documented in the existing Google docs for the work items
  – Agendas will be formulated in advance to enlist participation

• Facilitate a workshop with provider/clinicians to validate the priorities
Data Usability Work Group

For more information:

Thank You!

Convene  Collaborate  Interoperate