# Sequoia Data Usability Workgroup

May 4, 2023 · 3:55 PM · ID: 982542493

### Chat

**Didi Davis**sent a chat · 4:05 PM https://sequoiaproject.org/interoperability-matters/data-usability-workgroup/

Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat · 4:09 PM @didi, I don't see the slides/meeting for today listed yet. Do you know when it will be posted?

#### Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat · 4:20 PM

The recent ONC NPRM requests feedback on lab data interoperability. Please comment: https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2023-07229/p-1350

**Bill Gregg**sent a chat · 4:24 PM Thank you for sharing Andrea

**Tom Bronken**sent a chat · 4:28 PM Is there an example of an outbound Epic going to Cerner or Meditech?

## Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat · 4:29 PM +1

Lisa Nelsonsent a chat · 4:30 PM

I missed the very beginning. What does Meditech to Epic mean? They are looking at data in an Epic EHR which was imported from a Meditech out? Is that how to interpret what Manish was showing?

Katherine Lusksent a chat · 4:30 PM

@Tom - We did not bring. but we were seeing when Epic and Athena arrived at a Cerner org - they were organized

Jim Jirjissent a chat · 4:30 PM great examples

Lisa Nelsonsent a chat  $\cdot$  4:31 PM

To what extent is the rendering of the information considered to be "the responsibility" of the consuming EHR

sent a chat  $\cdot$  4:31 PM

+1

Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat · 4:32 PM +1 Lisa

Lisa Nelsonsent a chat · 4:32 PM

Did you gather that in your examples? Could we see what that Meditech data "looked like" in the Meditech EHR before it got put into the exchange document?

#### Katherine Lusksent a chat · 4:33 PM

@Lisa - No we did not but perhaps you all could do that

#### Lisa Nelsonsent a chat · 4:33 PM

I think that would be very interesting and telling to add as findings to consider.

#### Karlsent a chat $\cdot$ 4:33 PM

I don't have the specific XML of those to share but in the text sections these are defined as tables, so the layout isn't really something that the recipient EHR can modify

#### Bart Carlson (Azuba)sent a chat · 4:34 PM

Do you have a recommended template for display of digital health records (even if it hasn't been implemented yet)?

#### Didi Davissent a chat · 4:34 PM

@Andrea - apologies, I have confirmed with Amber that she is following up with our marketing folks to get them up asap in the background.

#### Lisa Nelsonsent a chat · 4:35 PM

If an EHR already knows how to optimize the view of the information, then maybe they could output the info into the C-CDA in a better order. But Order independence is an assumption for the interface document. We do need smarter Content Consumers

#### Scott Hertersent a chat · 4:35 PM

Is there a group code for the lab results? If there is a group code it would be easier to apply "group by" logic. Most programmers aren't lab techs and don't know what these things are.

#### Lisa Nelsonsent a chat · 4:35 PM

Result Organizer.code could be used to group like test types.

#### Lisa Nelsonsent a chat · 4:36 PM

I think this is a "partnership situation". We need both sides to do better

#### Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat · 4:36 PM

There are other LOINC Committee and SHIELD members on the call. Both working on aspects of lab interoperability/usability too.

#### sent a chat $\cdot$ 4:36 PM

I listened in on some of the working calls to get this presentation together. One thing I noticed is there a lot more viewing of documents in a viewer (style sheet direct render) in actual practice vs being processed By the receiving system and viewed in there. As an interop person this was a bit surprising. Observation not much opinion other than being surprised

Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat · 4:42 PM Especially when all Interpretations are under "I"

#### Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat · 4:47 PM

https://problemlist.org/ provides downloadable maps which can be used by EHRs to group labs and meds by top problems on problem list (POV by Dr Weed)

Lisa Nelsonsent a chat  $\cdot$  4:51 PM Attenuation

Lisa Nelsonsent a chat  $\cdot$  4:52 PM Loss of signal quality as it passes through various systems.

Lisa Nelsonsent a chat · 4:52 PM +1 Andrea

Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat · 4:54 PM https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/diagnostic-data-program/systemic-harmonizationand-interoperability-enhancement-laboratory-data-shield

Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat  $\cdot$  4:54 PM This is the recently launched FDA SHIELD page with info

H Miller, MDsent a chat · 4:55 PM AGREE

H Miller, MDsent a chat · 4:55 PM ABSOLUTELY LAB INTEROPERABILITY SHOULD BE A PRIORITY!@

Tom Bronkensent a chat  $\cdot$  4:55 PM There has to be a standard for how labs are grouped. The vendors should follow it.

Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat · 4:56 PM @tom. agree where the performing lab defines their panels.

**Steven Lane**sent a chat · 4:57 PM Does it make sense to launch a Lab Subcommittee, or simply to make Lab data interoperability the primary/first focus of the V2 work?

Lisa Nelsonsent a chat  $\cdot$  4:58 PM OK by me to post chats. Great idea.

**Bill Gregg**sent a chat  $\cdot$  4:58 PM I think we are open to either one Dr. Lane -- we thought a smaller group might be able to meet more often, but either way that works is ok from our perspective.

Andrea Pitkus, PhD, MLS(ASCP)CM, FAMIAsent a chat  $\cdot$  4:59 PM It would be good to get feedback on challenges with certain lab areas. We know clinical lab is better than pathology and genomics

**Bill Gregg**sent a chat · 5:00 PM Agree Andrea.

**Bill Gregg**sent a chat · 5:00 PM Thank you everyone for the comments!

Steven Lanesent a chat · 5:00 PM +1 Andrea

Margaret Harrisonsent a chat  $\cdot$  5:00 PM Thank you

Lisa Nelsonsent a chat · 5:00 PM Great stats in that article Andrea. Thanks!

#### Lisa Nelsonsent a chat · 5:00 PM

Researchers conducted an evaluationExternal Link Disclaimer with five health care systems that found data maintained only 59% integrity as it moved from laboratory analyzer to laboratory information system. Similarly, the authors of a recent Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association (JAMIA) article estimated that the integrity of a single round-trip through the lab data lifecycle yielded 22-68% integrity.